PRICE-SETTING STRATEGIES FOR PRODUCT INNOVATIONS IN THE

MEDTECH INDUSTRY

Cohen, Benjamin; Neubert, Michael

ISM International School of Management, Paris, France

ABSTRACT

This article analyses the research problem of price-setting strategies for product innovations in

the medtech industry. It is based on the conceptual framework of price-setting practices,

strategies, and models. A multiple case study research is then performed on six medical

technology companies, focusing on how they set their initial product price for a new

technology solution to either existing medical conditions or technology limitations. The

research results show that medtech companies opt for competition-informed price practices

and buy pricing models. The pricing strategies vary between skimming and market-based

pricing strategies. Price innovations are limited due to regulation and financial considerations.

Keywords: Price-Setting, Pricing Practice, Pricing Strategy, Pricing Model, Medtech

INTRODUCTION

Price-setting for any new product is crucial in determining its future in the market. After years of

research and development (R&D), product design, testing, market research and marketing, the first real

interaction a product has with the customer is through its price. It is not the idea of how its utility will

benefit the customer nor the vision and excitement given about how things will change. Those are

unrealized expectations preserved until after the customer has ownership. The price is the real, hard

currency promise of what this product will mean to the customer.

There is a great need for all companies to understand and optimize their pricing strategy. Price-setting

determines a company's profit margin as well as market share; the ease in making sales or the difficulty

in gaining adoption. It is perceived as a profit opportunity invitation to future competition and a

territorial grab to existing competitors. Marn and Rosiello (1992) studied the economic parameters of

2,463 companies and found that a 1% improvement in price yielded an 11.1% improvement in

operating profit, all else being equal. This compared dramatically high as compared to a 1%

improvement in either the variable costs, sales volume, or fixed costs, which yielded operating profit

gains of 7.8%, 3.3%, and 2.3%, respectively.

With the possibility of any product or industry to focus on, this paper has chosen the medical

technology (medtech) industry. This focus has been chosen because of the amount of money spent on

ISSN: 2547-8516

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

10th Annual Conference of the EuroMed Academy of Business

460

ISSN: 2547-8516

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

R&D investment, the rapid advancements made in recent years, the growing worldwide demand in

advanced medtech products, and the absence of existing research. Further, medtech products often

consist of physical products, consumables, and services, the combination of which increases the pricing

complexity.

Medtech companies are providing cutting-edge technologies to patients, hospitals, and care providers

around the world. It would appear that as the world becomes more interconnected toward a single

common marketplace, the faster the rate of technological development becomes. With an increasing

rate of technological development at the same time as larger customer exposure, greater necessity is

placed on a medtech company entering new markets with the correct marketing and pricing strategies.

The purpose of this study is to identify various international pricing strategies and models used in real

world companies. From this selection, comparison can be made of their relative strengths and proper

implementation. The problem in this research is that international pricing decisions are more complex

than domestic, frequently incurring currency value swings, differing inflationary pressures and

difficulty in having production facilities in different markets, which leads to frequent price reviews

(Hollensen, 2014).

This study has been performed in part by the call for research from Ingenbleek, Frambach, and

Verhallen (2013). In their paper they call for further research on existing pricing processes with the

intent of applying them toward optimal application for new product development. Thereto, it is

suggested to address this need through qualitative research methods such as multiple case study

research.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Successful price-setting strategies and models can be derived from a large number of literature sources

centered on either the conceptual derivation or empirical results. However, sources of failure risk are

often more easily learned from case studies found in literature research. In short, the success and failure

risk factors relevant to bringing a new product to market can be generalized as originating from either

the product, company, competition, customer, international market complexity, or new sub-industry

oriented focus. Evidence for each of these focuses is found in a selection of recently published literature.

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

Price-Setting Practice	Prices Based On				
Value-informed pricing	Customers' perceptions of the benefits that the product offers and that they trade off				
value informed pricing	against the price				
Competition-informed pricing	Competitors' prices relative to their market positions				
Cost-informed pricing	Variable, fixed, direct, and indirect costs for developing, producing, and marketing the				
cost informed pricing	new product or service				
Price-Setting Strategy	Prices Based On				
Skimming	The market segment with the highest reference price is targeted first, and the market is				
	subsequently skimmed to capture segments with lower reference prices				
Market pricing	Price influences market share				
Penetration pricing	Set a low price in attempt to stimulate product adoption				
Non- Price-Setting Strategy	Prices Based On				
Selecting price models	Not all purchasing options available for customers				
Price negotiation w/ customer	List price minus customer-specific discounts				
Bundling	Discounted price when multiple products or services purchased				
Upgrades / Trade-ins	Discounted price when an older model/version is exchanged				
Captive finance companies	Purchasing price dependent on financing terms				
Pricing Model	Revenue Based On				
Buy	One-time payment				
Lease / Rent	Recurring monthly/yearly payments				
Lease back	Real estate: sale of asset, lease/rent back from buyer (takes the form of a loan)				
Pay-per-use	Pay for each use, do not pay for on-site location or maintenance				
Combination buy & use	Sale of product, plus recurring payments for service and non-reusable components				
Recurring	Recurring payments comprised of lease/rent, service, and non-reusable components				
Reimbursement	Government payer reimbursement of costs, smaller immediate patient copay				
Auction	Highest bidder				
Dynamic / Time-based	Price changes as a function of time and supply/availability				
Remanufactured	Used goods refurbished or remanufactured and sold as new or lower quality				
Second hand	Used goods resold on secondary market				
Remarketed	Unsold goods being sold for a different purpose				

Table A2: Definition of price-setting practices, strategies, and pricing models used (e.g. Hollensen, 2014; Ingenbleek, Frambach & Verhallen, 2013)

Product-Oriented

Product expectation from customers of a new product's performance should be adequately met by appropriate price-setting strategies. Proper pricing leads to a balance between the product's usefulness and the expectations placed on it from consumers. Ingenbleek et al. (2013) find that inappropriate selection of price-setting practices can counter the positive advantages of new product development. By pricing a product high, customers will have high initial expectations for quality, durability, application, and ease of use, among others, which the product must fulfill. Customer opinion may begin to undervalue the benefit it brings by comparing it with the standards applied to other products at similar prices. Undervaluing a product decreases the consumer confidence in performance and durability, as well as pride of ownership. The consumer is only content when the balance between cost and benefit is met. This selection of a pricing strategy is therefore dependent on the relative level of product advantage, intensity of competition, and relative product costs. This multiple case study research uses the theoretical framework of Ingenbleek et al. (2013) to analyze the pricing strategies of medtech companies.

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

Specifically, for a new product entering an existing market or creating a new market, value-informed pricing strategy provides the greatest support for a product's pricing strategy. After this, competition-informed pricing adds substantially in situations where competitive intensity is low, whereas cost-informed pricing helps when competitive intensity is high. Likewise, managers pursuing a profit margin objective should express the advantage of their product, thus using value-informed pricing while avoiding cost-informed pricing. In total, Marn, Roegner, and Zawada (2003) estimate 80-90% of new products brought to market are priced too low, and consequently reach a smaller market size and level of profitability than is possible. This has significant negative effects on the company's revenue stream by decreasing short-term profit as well as long-term customer confidence and loyalty (Lowe and Alpert, 2010).

Lowe and Alpert (2010) identified a clear causal effect for the pioneer's price-setting decisions on product price and value perceptions, whereas a follower company's product price seemed to influence perceptions of the follower, not the pioneer. These findings imply that reference price is brand specific, and that the pioneer, due to its proto-typicality, has a stronger influence on reference price perceptions than the follower. However, these effects were stronger for the more innovative product categories being examined.

Online distribution channels greatly increase price transparency, which leads to reduced price differentials between countries and a global standardization of prices (Gorodnichenko and Talavera, 2016). Prices in online markets have been found to change faster than in traditional stores, including a higher pass-through of exchange rate fluctuations. These changes depend on the products and on market conditions such as level of competition.

Specifically, for medical devices, the clinical trial procedure is found to be the best way to estimate a product's cost effectiveness for future customers (Kirisits and Redekop, 2013). These trials are able to reach a broad and diverse range of potential customers with varying levels of severity of the condition being targeted by the new product. From these trials, the performance of the device and degree of benefit can be identified and weighed against its ease of use and any potential harms. The degree of rigor and completeness to these clinical trials is the best way to forecast its acceptance by the public.

Company-Oriented

When a firm develops a high-technology product filling a small market niche, its full potential needs to be quickly exploited in order to generate sufficient revenue needed to cover its R&D costs, to finance growth, and to offer competitive prices (Neubert, 2015; Trudgen and Freeman, 2014). Therefore, significant product growth is necessary, as well as creating and completing the product's distribution path to the public. Proper relationships with the company's supply chain as well as distributors,

463

ISSN: 2547-8516

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

import/exporters, and retailers is critical as the performance of one company will depend on the performance of the entire chain (Wei and Zhao, 2014).

performance of the entire chain (vvertila zhao, 2014).

Luostarinen and Gabrielsson (2006) studied 89 companies in Finland who became international within their first three years, and thusly named born global companies (Neubert, 2015). They found that these companies often bypassed cost-informed pricing (setting a product's price floor) by choosing below-cost pricing for their first international customer, and thereafter applying value-added pricing based on the benefits brought to its customers. This method was found to be hugely beneficial to the company creating the product in terms of creating the first international partnership, acquiring initial market share, and finding a more optimal partnership that conveyed confidence in customers not already familiar with the manufacturing company. This brings compounded returns in the form of additional business being brought-in more easily.

Even before focusing on the product and customers, the best qualities of successful lean start-up companies have been identified as controlling overhead costs and to automate as many of the company procedures as possible (Grohn et al, 2015). These actions are often indicative of proper cost-control efforts set by the management, and a focus on the bottom line. Examples of such efforts include the purchase of used equipment, or to negotiate with vendors and suppliers after a more thorough market research of asking prices.

Competition-Oriented

Knowledge of the pricing strategies, product development, and marketing practices of a company's competitors leads to greater positioning of a company within the overall market. Success of any product originates from one or more advantages that it has over other available product options. Kuznetsova and Roud (2014) performed an industry survey among Russian manufacturing firms to rate their relative company advantages as compared to their competitors. From the results, they found that the highest perceived advantage of the survey respondents' companies was product quality over their competitors, with 76% of respondents believing in their own company produced products at higher quality as compared to 17% of respondents believing in their competitor's product quality advantage. The next largest discrepancy in perspectives was of fast, on-time delivery, in that 43% believed their company had the advantage as compared to 9% giving advantage to their competitors. Roughly 6% of respondents believed that their company possessed no competitive advantages at all, whereas 30% believed that the competitors possessed no advantages. The survey results showed roughly even match between the respondents' company and its competitors in the categories of price, cutting-edge products, adaptation to consumer needs, and service.

Placement of one product helps the product and company succeed in the short-term. However, longterm planning and investment in product improvements and further technological advancement is

Global and national business theories and practice: bridging the past with the future

464

ISSN: 2547-8516

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

vital in assuring continual benefit from the product line. Copeland and Shapiro (2015) found that continual and significant innovation increases the competitive advantage of a product, thus leading to higher prices for the innovator. The constant reworking and renewal of a product's strengths, capacities, and features creates a moving barrier to entry for competitors. Likewise, slower rates of innovation make the retail market more competitive, leading to decreasing company markups and

Customer-Oriented

profits.

No greater entity exists for the protection of customers' best interests than the multitude of government sponsored healthcare programs around the world, and assuring patient safety as well as cost control from healthcare providers are the top two priorities. Specifically relating to overall cost, Gobbi and Hsuan (2015) determined that nearly 65% of the public sector in the US medical industry used collaborative purchasing (CP) power in 1995 to reduce the price of complex medical equipment purchases. They found that purchase of said equipment equates to the largest portion of total expenditure in the realm of healthcare. Because the healthcare providers (predominantly hospitals) have strikingly similar requirements of the machines, equipment, and devices purchased, the potential for CP to decrease overall costs is high. Proper purpose and functionality for the customer must be addressed in order to gain customer adoption of a new technology, as more often than not the needs of customers create the product opportunities for companies. Specifically, for medtech companies, aging demographics and increasing availability to modern medicine for most of the world have created significant growth opportunities. For example, the leading healthcare demands within the United States include decreasing costs, patient safety, personalized care, and advanced cancer research (Deloitte, 2016). Compare that with the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) states where healthcare concerns stem from the region's increasing population, which include lifestyle diseases (including ischemic heart disease, stroke, type 2 diabetes and obesity), high incidence of road traffic accidents, and consanguinity (Howard, 2014).

Other countries have made medical tourism a primary focus for the growth of their economy. Such countries as Thailand, Singapore, India, Jordan, Turkey, and the United Arab Emirates have each incurred rapid growth in their healthcare infrastructure in order to satisfy their growing domestic markets as well as attract the international patients (Ebrahim and Ganguli, 2017). These countries aim to benefit from increased demand for the healthcare products and services beyond the level of domestic demand. This effectively causes the hospitals, retailers, and healthcare providers to increase their customer base without the need for export/import concerns and costs.

Foreign Market Complexity Oriented

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

International expansion of a company is a significant milestone in its global growth and capture of market share. Yet for many companies this step proves to be a substantial inhibitor to smooth progress. The benefits of an increase in potential customer base are offset by increased costs burdened by consumers, additional governmental regulation and safety controls, cultural or language difficulties, and increased competition. This market adaptation includes a revision of pricing decisions (Neubert, 2016b).

Therefore, entry into a foreign market is only possible after extensive analysis of the market factors and competition (Neubert, 2013). Pricing decisions require regular reviews and structured decision-making processes in order to prepare for and mitigate disturbances caused by changes in foreign competition, currency exchange fluctuation, and inflationary pressures (Snieskiene and Cibinskiene, 2015). Thereto, exporting companies have been found to experience greater rate of success depending on the relationship and partnerships formed with importers (Obadia and Stöttinger, 2015). Exporters can increase the performance of their importers through their pricing strategies, especially by allowing higher margins or other incentive schemes. In response, importers then invest in the products where they can expect the best results, predominantly based on the marketability and the price margin.

Creation of a new product market or niche comes with the significant advantage in that high-tech firms have a high price-setting power to set the reference price for their new product categories. New niche creation has historically come with roughly one to two years of market control before competitor companies can technologically catch up (Lowe and Alpert, 2010). This advantage is substantially decreased in foreign markets that don't enforce patent protection. Geng and Saggi (2015) analyzed pricing strategies of patented (e.g. pharmaceutical) products sold into markets without patent protection, and found that local competition was quickly generated. Such presence led to price competition for market share, meaning lower mark-ups and profitability.

RESEARCH METHOD

A multiple case study research method shall be used in order to best compare and contrast existing pricing strategies used by medtech companies. This study uses a multiple case study research design to answer the explanatory (why and how) research questions (Yin, 2014). According to Hennart (2013), a qualitative comparative case study research would help to answer the research question. In contrast to an experimental design or a survey, a multiple case study has more flexibility (Stake, 1995), allows an in-depth analysis of a complex research problem within a highly contextualized environment (Rosenberg and Yates, 2007), and a comparison between different cases (Baxter and Jack, 2008; Eisenhardt and Graebner, 2007).

10th Annual Conference of the EuroMed Academy of Business

466

ISSN: 2547-8516

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

The medical industry in general is a very difficult but interesting industry to identify pricing strategies,

particularly within the United States and other countries with large governmental healthcare payer

systems. In most countries within the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

(OECD) the majority of prices for medical services, equipment and prescription drugs are fixed, or set

in reference to these government-established reference prices (Brandt, 2013). In the US, it is commonly

known as the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) billing rates. Therefore, the new

medtech companies are principally targeting technology innovations not currently outlined in these

governmental payer systems.

SAMPLING

The choice of the sampling strategy is based on the purpose of this study. This study uses a purposive

case selection strategy (Seawright and Gerring, 2008), because it produces a representative sample with

typical (Gerring, 2006) and successful examples of the total population. According to Yin (2014), this

sampling strategy produces a statistically representative sample, if at least six to ten cases are selected.

This study uses a sample size of six case study firms to allow a better triangulation of data and to

strengthen the results of the whole study.

The six case study firms, EnteroMedics, Alphatec Spine, Inogen, Skyline Medical, GE Healthcare, and

Intuitive Surgical, are born-global firms using the global exporter business model (Neubert, 2016a).

These companies are all publicly traded companies (5 on NASDAQ, GE on NYSE), with market

capitalization ranging in size from \$8 million to \$260 billion. Five of the six companies specialize

uniquely within the medical equipment and supplies industry, while GE is a multinational

conglomerate with exposure in a broad range of industries. This sample was determined sufficient for

the study due to the breadth of pricing strategies and models identified between them.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The statement of the research problem has led to the following two research questions:

1. What pricing strategies and models are currently being implemented in the medtech

industry?

2. Where are the observed differences and benefits between the pricing strategies and

models?

RESEARCH FINDINGS

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

Through researching dozens of companies, it was found that a company cannot state outright its pricing strategy. This is firstly because the terms and definitions of pricing strategies and models are in no way standardized, and secondly because in doing so a company may substantially weaken its market position and brand quality, inviting in new competitors. Therefore, inferences must be made as to why and how a company chose its pricing models. In order to make such inferences, enough detailed information must be revealed about a company's product line revenues and costs, thus leading the research to focus on publicly listed companies on US stock exchanges.

Findings RQ 1: What pricing strategies and models are currently being implemented in the medtech industry?

The companies of EnteroMedics, Alphatec Spine, and Inogen were researched, each belonging to a different specialty sector within the healthcare field. EnteroMedics manufactures its Maestro device that uses its neuroblocking technology known as vBloc Therapy to treat obesity, metabolic diseases, and other gastrointestinal disorders (EnteroMedics, 2016). Alphatec Spine manufactures a variety of products for the surgical treatment of spine disorders (Alphatec Spine, 2017). Inogen specializes in the design, manufacturing and marketing of portable oxygen concentrators for patients necessitating oxygen therapy (Inogen, 2017).

			Price-Setting Practices	Price-Setting Strategy	Pricing Model	
Company	Product Line(s)	Description	(Specifically)	(Additional Strategies)	Primary	Secondary
Intuitive Surgical	da Vinci Surgery	robotic surgery	Value-informed	Skimming	Buy & Use	Recurring
				(Price negotiation)		
GE Healthcare	1.5-tesla LX MRI	MRI scan	Value-informed	Skimming	Buy	Lease
				(Upgrades / Trade-ins)		
Skyline Medical Inc	Streamway FMS	fluid disposal	Competition-informed	Penetration pricing	Buy	Pay-per-use
				(Price negotiation)		
EnteroMedics	Maestro (vBloc Therapy)	neuroblocking v obesity	Competition-informed	Market pricing	Buy	-
			(US Medicare CMS)	-		
Alphatec Spine	- multiple -	spine surgery	Competition-informed	Market pricing	Buy	-
			(US Medicare CMS)	-		
Inogen	Inogen One	portable oxygen	Competition-informed	Market pricing	Buy	Service
			(US Medicare CMS)	-		

Table 2: Price-setting practices, strategies, and models for the case study companies

The first finding is that each case study company bases their product pricing in the US on the CMS billing rates (compare to table 2). By doing so, the prices are thus established by the US Medicaid reimbursement rates, meaning a competition-informed price-setting practice. Therefore, the price-setting strategy of all companies is that of market pricing. Each company additionally operates primarily under the buy only pricing model, with Inogen mentioning the service segment of their business generating significant revenue.

Skyline Medical is a company specializing in the collection and disposal of infectious fluids that result from surgical procedures and post-operative care (Skyline Medical, 2017). Their principle focus is the Streamway System, with features such as automated measurement of volumes, prevention of cross-contamination, and a tissue trap that allows for tissue retrieval. Disclosed in their 2015 annual report, Skyline implies that their pricing strategy is one of penetration pricing, specifically singling-out their

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

competitor Stryker Instrument's comparable system and citing notable differences (Skyline Medical, 2016). The significant differences mentioned are a 33% savings in device purchase price, an industry common installation process that can be performed by distributors, independent contractors, or customer in-house engineering, as well as underpricing the disposable kit needed for each patient operation by \$1. Therefore, the pricing practice detailed in the report is that of competition-informed, although undoubtedly an underlying degree of cost-informed practice evaluated to set their product price floor. The company's pricing model is dominantly through the sale of the Streamway units, with the sale of non-reusable filters and cleaning solutions making up a smaller revenue component.

General Electric (GE) is a global conglomerate company with divisions in the aviation, power generation, electricity distribution, healthcare, oil and gas, transportation, household appliances, and financial industries (GE, 2016). In researching cutting-edge medtech technologies, the recent 3.0-tesla (3T) magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) machines developed at GE were identified as being cutting-edge technology in terms of their magnet strength, bore size, and quieter running status. However, pricing information was discovered only through distributors and then only from contact with sales associates. Consequently, a previous model, the 1.5-tesla (1.5T) LX MRI, was found with sufficient pricing information.

Not only had the 1.5T machines been sold initially at higher prices only to be lowered in time with increased competition from other companies and technologies, but sales were initially only available through GE authorized dealers, later opening up to affiliates and then third party venders. From uncovering the historical change in pricing as well as sales practices, it is evident that GE uses value-informed price-setting practices to establish skimming price-setting strategies for its MRI products. GE does offer both the sale and lease of its MRI units, although not all venders offer a leasing option. Undoubtedly, GE must have developed this pricing strategy through years of experience in the healthcare as well as other industries in order to maximize returns to the company.

The sixth and final case study included in this report is from Intuitive Surgical (Intuitive). They manufacture the da Vinci Surgical System used in robotic surgeries, along with related instruments and accessories (Intuitive, 2016). Being a young and ambitious medtech company listed publically, Intuitive has disclosed the largest amount of pricing information found for this research. In their annual report, Intuitive defines their business strategy priorities all in relation to value to its customers; patient, surgeon, and hospital. With the customer in mind, Intuitive has focused their product technology and further improvements on maximizing the value of the system for its customers, primarily through conversion of standard open surgeries to be compatible with the da Vinci system, to better train surgeons for a larger range of complex minimally invasive surgeries (MIS), and to develop procedures

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

that could convert multiport laparoscopic surgeries into single port surgeries. In all, it is clear that Intuitive employs a value-informed price-setting practice.

Intuitive lists the revenue streams in 2015 from the sale of its surgical systems, sales-type and operating lease options, annual service plan, as well as the non-reusable or gradually degrading instruments and accessories. An impressive 492 robotic systems were sold in 2015, yielding 30% of their annual profits, with another 63 systems delivered to customers under lease terms, yielding less than 1% of profits. On top of that were a combined total of 3,597 systems in use and covered under annual service contracts, bringing in 19% of 2015 profits, but most substantially was the sale of non-reusable or replacement instrument and accessories, equaling over 50% of the annual profits. From this information it is clear that Intuitive primarily employs a buy and use pricing model, with a much smaller percent of clients choosing the leasing option of a recurring pricing model.

Also in the annual report are the ranges of prices charged to its customers for the da Vinci Surgery System, the annual service agreements, and the non-reusable or gradually degrading instruments and accessories. The range values are not necessarily important, but the magnitude of the discounts given to some customers is substantial. Sale of the da Vinci Surgery System ranges from \$0.6 to \$2.5 million each, meaning some customers may receive up to a 76% discount. The annual service agreements range in price from \$80 to \$170 thousand per year (up to 53% discount), and the instruments and accessories, on a per-use basis, equate to a \$700 to \$3,200 per surgery cost (up to 78% discount). Calculating the average cost for these three categories from the total number of sales, operational units, and procedures in 2015 shows indication that the average price paid is roughly halfway between the maximum and zero discount range. They describes their customer base as being primarily US-based (71% of revenue) as well as being larger governmental hospital chains who the purchase the system in bulk, which explains why significant discounts are being offered.

Intuitive also describes their competition in the industry as being the traditional MIS, open surgery, interventional or pharmaceutical options. They describe their robotic option for surgery as an advanced technology albeit with higher costs, that results in increased and quicker success rates. In all, due to the high cost of the system and limited necessity for the limited specialized surgeries currently with guidelines written for execution using the system, Intuitive is implementing a skimming price-setting strategy with substantial price negotiation (discounting) with the customers.

Findings RQ 2: Where are the observed differences and benefits between the pricing strategies and models?

As seen in the six case study companies, the price-setting practices of competition-informed and value-informed are the dominant ones implemented. Where they differ is when the new technologies are applied to more patient-centered medical conditions (as is the case for EnteroMedics, Alphatec, Inogen, and Skyline) or towards the hospital-centered medical devices (GE and Intuitive).

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

The first three medtech products are addressing new higher technology solutions to existing patient conditions, and therefore have pricing restricted by the US Medicare CMS reimbursement price listing, or more generally a market pricing strategy. Bottom line profit for these companies is therefore most strongly determined by total cost reduction to the customers plus decreasing their own operating costs and expenses. Skyline's product is not patient specific, and because of the company's ambition towards significant market share they have chosen to underprice the product, following a penetration pricing strategy. Bottom line profit for them will come from effective marketing, product performance, and market share growth. Both GE and Intuitive's products are providing higher technology solutions to hospital equipment limitations or competition amongst hospitals for patients needing specialized procedures and tests, thus necessitating skimming price-setting strategies. Bottom line profit for them will come in terms of relative advances their equipment technology has over competitors plus value appeal to the surgeons and patients.

Within this case study selection, the first five companies primarily utilize the buy only pricing model as a result of them all manufacturing machinery or equipment that generate the majority of their revenue, with little to no additional instruments or accessories needed. The sixth company utilizes the buy and use pricing model, thereby having significant revenue coming from both its surgery system and the non-reusable components.

CONCLUSIONS

Through this six-company case study several advantages and disadvantages to the price-setting practices, strategies, and models were found. In short, the price-setting practice will be determined based on the customer being either single-use patients or large customer throughput hospitals, with the focus being on finding the greatest value for the targeted customers. The price-setting strategy is largely related to the price-setting practice, with modifications based on the relative newness of the technology, level of competition, and trade-off between growth in market share or higher profit margins. The pricing model is primarily dependent on the product delivery method and/or operation by the customer, but with modifications possible based on product customization, relationship with the customer, and specialized financing options.

Advantages in competition-informed price-setting practices plus market price-setting strategy include assurance of a fair price along with associated market share, but the disadvantages include significant competition and lower profit margins in order to grow market share. Advantages in value-informed practices as well as the skimming price-setting strategy include higher profit margins leading to quicker profitability while not growing your customer base faster than supply lines and infrastructure needs, but with the disadvantage of lower market share with higher R&D and marketing costs.

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

Advantages of cost-informed practices and the penetration price-setting strategy include setting a price floor and gaining market share, whereas the disadvantages include lower profitability and potentially setting a low reference price from which your customers will continue to demand low prices.

Advantages to the buy pricing model include the largest customer payments at the earliest time to product delivery, which decreases the OEM's financing requirements. The disadvantages to the buy pricing model are that it is more financially intensive for customers, and if the technology advances quick enough will leave customers feeling left behind or disappointed. The lease pricing model is a favorable step for the customer, allowing additional payment options and thus an increased customer base. Disadvantages for the OEM include delayed revenue streams and increased staff to track the various accounts. Pay-per-use pricing models are not applicable for all healthcare device companies, but when they are they bring additional revenue for the OEMs as well as a fairer pricing model between customers who use the product more or less than others. Disadvantages include continual inventory monitoring for both OEMs and customers.

No particular ranking of the price-setting practices, strategies and models can be made generically. A specific product and target customer are to first be identified, working next to the price-setting. However, it is noted that in general the larger and more successful companies have product lines with price-setting practices and strategies that evolve with the product and industry age, and that contain diverse pricing models to draw in the largest possible customer base.

Future study must include a larger amount of case study companies within the medtech industry, expansion into other new technology industry segments, and could also incorporate the change in price through a particular product's life cycle.

REFERENCES

Alphatec Spine. (2017). Alphatec Spine: Improving lives by delivering advancements in spinal fusion technology. Alphatec Spine, Inc. Retrieved January 22, 2017, from http://alphatecspine.com.

Bassett, M. (2014, May 19). The fight for the right to repair: To ensure adequate access to the equipment they are charged to maintain, Biomeds across the nation are looking for ways to take action. 24x7 Magazine. Retrieved January 27, 2017, from http://www.24x7mag.com/2014/05/fight-right-repair.

Baxter, P., Jack, S. (2008). Qualitative case study methodology: Study design and implementation for novice researchers. The Qualitative Report, 13(4), 544-559.

Brandt, L. (2013). Price tagging the priceless: International reference pricing for medicines in theory and practice. European Centre for International Political Economy (ECIPE), Policy Briefs #4/2013.

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

Copeland, A., Shapiro, A.H. (2015). Price setting and rapid technology adoption: The case of the PC industry. Review of Economics and Statistics, 98(3), 601-616.

Deloitte. (2016). 2016 Global health care outlook: Battling costs while improving care. Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited. Retrieved February 06, 2017, from https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/global/Documents/Life-Sciences-Health-Care/gx-lshc-2016-health-care-outlook.pdf.

Ebrahim, A.H., Ganguli, S. (2017). Strategic priorities for exploiting Bahrain's medical tourism potential. Journal of Place Management and Development, 10(1). doi: 10.1108/JPMD-03-2016-0011.

Eisenhardt, K.M., Graebner, M.E. (2007). Theory building from cases: Opportunities and challenges. Academy of Management Journal, 50(1), 25-32.

EnteroMedics. (2016). EnteroMedics: About Us. EnteroMedics Inc. Retrieved January 22, 2017, from http://www.enteromedics.com.

Gabrielsson, P., Gabrielsson, M., Gabrielsson, H. (2008). International advertising campaigns in fast-moving consumer goods companies originating from a SMOPEC country. International Business Review, 17(6), 714-728. doi:10.1016/j.ibusrev.2008.09.008.

General Electric (2016, February 26). Digital industrial: GE 2015 annual report. General Electric Company. Retrieved January 11, 2017, from http://www.ge.com/ar2015/assets/pdf/GE_AR15.pdf.

Geng, D., Saggi, K. (2015). External reference pricing policies, price controls, and international patent protection. Vanderbilt University.

Gerring, J. (2006). Case study research: Principles and practices. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Gobbi, C., Hsuan, J. (2015). Collaborative purchasing of complex technologies in healthcare: Implications for alignment strategies. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 35(3). doi: 10.1108/IJOPM-08-2013-0362.

Gorodnichenko, Y., Talavera, O. (2016). Price setting in online markets: Basic facts, international comparisons, and cross-border integration. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER), Working paper #20406. doi: 10.3386/w20406.

Grohn, K., Moody, K., Wortel, D., LeClair, N., Traina, A., Zluhan, E., Feuer, G. (2015). Lean start-up: A case study in the establishment of affordable laboratory infrastructure and emerging biotechnology business models. Journal of Commercial Biotechnology, 21(2), 60–68. doi: 10.5912/jcb698.

Hennart, J.F. (2013). The accidental internationalists: A theory of born globals. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 38(1), 117-135.

Hollensen, S. (2014). Global Marketing (6th ed.). Harlow, England: Financial Times Prentice Hall.

Howard, J. (2014, November 20). Medical devices and the Middle East: Market, regulation, and reimbursement in Gulf Cooperation Council states. Medical Devices: Evidence and Research, 7, 385-395. doi: 10.2147/MDER.S73079.

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

Ingenbleek, P.T.M., Frambach, R.T., Verhallen, T.M.M. (2013). Best practices for new product pricing: Impact on market performance and price level under different conditions. Journal of Product Innovation Management, 30(3), 560-573. doi:10.1111/jpim.12008.

Inogen. (2017). Inogen: Oxygen. Anytime. Anywhere. Inogen Inc. Retrieved January 22, 2017, from http://www.inogen.com.

Intuitive Surgical. (2016). 2015 annual report. Intuitive Surgical, Inc. Retrieved January 11, 2017, from http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=122359&p=irol-IRHome.

Kirisits, A., Redekop, W.K. (2013). The economic evaluation of medical devices: Challenges ahead. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy, 11, 15-26. doi: 10.1007/s40258-012-0006-9.

Kuznetsova, T., Roud, V. (2014). Competition, innovation, and strategy: Empirical evidence from russian enterprises. Problems of Economic Transition, 57(2), 3-36. doi: 10.2753/PET1061-1991570201.

Lowe, B., Alpert, F. (2010). Pricing strategy and the formation and evolution of reference price perceptions in new product categories. Psychology & Marketing, 27(9), 846-73.

Luostarinen, R., Gabrielsson, M. (2006, November). Globalization and marketing strategies of born globals in SMOPECs. Thunderbird International Business Review, 48(6), 773-801. doi: 10.1002/tie.20122.

Marn, M. V., Roegner, E. V., Zawada, C. C. (2003, August). Pricing new products. McKinsey Quarterly, 40-49.

Marn, M. V., Rosiello, R. L. (1992, September-October). Managing price, gaining profit. Harvard Business Review.

Neubert, M. (2013). Global market strategies: How to turn your company into a successful international enterprise. Frankfurt am Main: Campus-Verlag.

Neubert, M. (2015). Early internationalisation of high-tech firms: Past accomplishments and future directions. International Journal of Teaching and Case Studies, 6(4), 353-369.

Neubert, M. (2016a). Significance of the speed of internationalisation for born global firms – A multiple case study approach. International Journal of Teaching and Case Studies, 7(1), 66-81.

Neubert, M. (2016b). How and why born global firms differ in their speed of internationalization – A multiple case study approach. International Journal of Teaching and Case Studies, 7(2), 118-134.

Obadia, C., Stöttinger, B. (2015). Pricing to manage export channel relationships. International Business Review, 24(2), 311-318.

OECD. (2015). Health at a glance 2015: OECD indicators. Paris: OECD Publishing. doi: 10.1787/health_glance-2015-en.

R&D Magazine. (2016, March 11). 2016 global R&D funding forecast. Retrieved January 27, 2017, from https://www.iriweb.org/sites/default/files/2016GlobalR%26DFunding Forecast_2.pdf.

ISBN: 978-9963-711-56-7

Rosenberg, J., Yates, P. (2007). Schematic representation of case study research designs. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 60(4), 447-452.

Seawright, J., Gerring, J. (2008). Case selection techniques in case study research a menu of qualitative and quantitative options. Political Research Quarterly, 61(2), 294-308.

Skyline Medical. (2016). Form 10-K (annual report). Skyline Medical, Inc. Retrieved January 11, 2017, from http://files.shareholder.com/downloads/AMDA-1XMKYQ/3775285216x0xS1171843-16-8621/1446159/filing.pdf.

Skyline Medical. (2017). Streamway: The new standard in waste ffluid management. Skyline Medical, Inc. Retrieved January 11, 2017, from http://www.skylinemedical.com.

Snieskiene, G., Cibinskiene, A. (2015). Export price: How to make it more competitive. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 213, 92-98.

Stake, R.E. (1995). The Art of Case Research. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Trudgen, R., Freeman, S. (2014). Measuring the performance of gone-global firms throughout their development process: The roles of initial market selection and internationalisation speed. Management International Review, 54(4), 551-579.

Wei, J., Zhao, J. (2014). Pricing and remanufacturing decisions in two competing supply chains. International Journal of Production Research, 53(1), 258-278. doi:10.1080/00207543.2014.951088.